

## Sowing the seeds for quality improvement in health care: does the solution lie with medical schools?

**Sir,**

We read with interest the article on the importance of encouraging doctors to engage with quality improvement and patient safety initiatives (vol. 76(3), 2015, p. 166). We believe that the solution to widening junior physician engagement with quality improvement programmes lies within medical school curricula.

Medical students, as observers of patient care, are empowered by an extrospective point of view that enables them to identify deficits in patient care (Haidet et al, 2002). Unlike qualified doctors, they are rarely bound by clinical responsibility or target-based time constraints when interacting with patients. These qualities are only a few which make them ideal advo-

cates for patient care (Ward et al, 2013) and quality improvement initiatives.

However, current knowledge of patient safety and quality improvement among medical students is low (Teigland et al, 2013) which is likely to explain the limited quality improvement engagement observed in junior doctors. Students often gain knowledge through informal 'word-of-mouth', but not through the embedment of quality improvement-specific teaching (e.g. identifying issues, developing protocols) within the core medical school curriculum. Provision of these skills would be likely to encourage wider participation in quality improvement (Levitt et al, 2012) as well as lay down an academic foundation that can be later used as a clinician, further driving an environment that creates 'bottom-up' change. Establishing a quality improvement database within medical schools will also engage students to undertake existing projects, thereby providing practical experience, while overcoming short-comings such as lack of continuity as a result of short student rotations.

**Irene Francis**

Fourth Year Medical Student  
Brighton and Sussex Medical School  
Brighton  
East Sussex BN2 5BE  
(I.Francis1@uni.bsms.ac.uk)

**Dev Katarey**

Academic FY2 Doctor  
Department of Renal Medicine and  
Transplantation  
St George's Hospital  
London

Haidet P, Dains JE, Paterniti DA, Hechtel L, Chang T, Tseng E, Rogers JC (2002) Medical student attitudes toward the doctor-patient relationship. *Med Educ* 36(6): 568-74  
Levitt DS, Hauer KE, Poncelet A, Mookherjee S (2012) An innovative quality improvement curriculum for third-year medical students. *Med Educ Online* 17 (doi: 10.3402/meo.v17i0.18391)  
Teigland CL, Blasiak RC, Wilson LA, Hines RE, Meyerhoff KL, Viera AJ (2013) Patient safety and quality improvement education: a cross-sectional study of medical students' preferences and attitudes. *BMC Med Educ* 13(1): 16 (doi: 10.1186/1472-6920-13-16)  
Ward HO, Kibble S, Mehta G et al (2013) How asking patients a simple question enhances care at the bedside: medical students as agents of quality improvement. *Perm J* 17(4): 27-31 (doi: 10.7812/TPP/13-028)

## Clinical examination in ascites

**Sir,**

Given the fact that constrictive pericarditis is potentially more reversible than most of the more common causes of ascites, clinical evaluation of a patient with ascites should be made not only when the patient

is in the supine position, as emphasized by Chavda and Bloom (vol. 76(2), 2015, p. C23), but also when the patient is sitting up. This will identify marked elevation of the jugular venous pressure (up to the angle of the jaw, for example), as this is a clinical sign that should raise the index of suspicion for constrictive pericarditis.

In one series, jugular venous pressure elevation of this degree was documented in

46 (75%) of 62 patients with constrictive pericarditis, and ascites documented in 27 (44%) of those 62 patients (Gimlette, 1959). When the index of suspicion for constrictive pericarditis is low patients with a mistaken diagnosis of cirrhosis with ascites run the risk of inappropriate invasive diagnostic tests such as transjugular liver biopsy (Marshall et al, 2006). In another instance, where constrictive pericarditis-related ascites was misdiagnosed as refractory ascites attributable to cirrhosis, the correct diagnosis was only made when the patient was admitted for intended implantation of a transjugular intrahepatic shunt (Wettstein and Haussinger, 2000).

**Oscar Jolobe**

Retired Geriatrician  
Manchester Medical Society  
C/o John Rylands University Library  
Manchester M13 9PB

Gimlette TMD (1959) Constrictive pericarditis. *Br Heart J* 21: 9-16  
Marshall A, Ring N, Lewis T (2006) Constrictive pericarditis; lessons from the past five years experience in the South West Cardiothoracic Centre. *Clin Med* 6: 592-7  
Wettstein M, Haussinger D (2000) [Constrictive pericarditis-a differential diagnosis in therapy refractory ascites.] *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 125: 1462-5

## CORRESPONDENCE

If you would like to comment on any of the articles in *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*, please write in no more than 250 words to:

Professor Rob Miller, Editor-in-Chief, BJHM  
c/o Rebecca Linssen, MA Healthcare, St Jude's Church, Dulwich Road, London SE24 0PB

email: rebecca.linssen@markallengroup.com fax: 020 7978 8316