

ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY  
ARTICLE ASSESSMENT FORM FOR ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Reviewer:

00.00.2009

Title

**TITLE**

1. Is its length suitable? ( ) Yes ( ) No
2. Does it reflect the content? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No

**ABSTRACTS**

1. Is it edited according to writing guidelines and specifications? ( ) Yes ( ) No
2. Are the key words suitable and sufficient? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Is the information provided relating to the study adequate? Is the aim clear? ( ) Yes ( ) No
2. Has the basic information been supported with new information, data and references? ( ) Yes ( ) No
3. As a whole, does it give sufficient idea regarding the subject? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No

**METHODS and MATERIALS**

1. Is the choice and size of the sample and the control group appropriate? ( ) Yes ( ) No
2. Is the explanation of the method and tools adequate? Are the scales valid and reliable? ( ) Yes ( ) No
3. If there are subjects who left the research, are the reasons stated? ( ) Yes ( ) No
4. Are the selection, application and interpretation of the statistical techniques accurate? ( ) Yes ( ) No
5. Has informed consent been obtained from the subjects? Have ethical principles been adhered to? ( ) Yes ( ) No

**FINDINGS**

1. Is the order of findings within the article suitable? ( ) Yes ( ) No
2. Are the findings concordant with the method and tools? ( ) Yes ( ) No
3. Are the figure, table and graphics necessary and at the required number? ( ) Yes ( ) No
4. Are the titles and explanations of the figure, table and graphics suitable? ( ) Yes ( ) No
5. Have the figure, table and graphics' places within the text been stated? ( ) Yes ( ) No
6. Have the original source of the figure/table/graphics quoted from somewhere else been stated? ( ) Yes ( ) No
7. Is the evaluation of the findings accurate? ( ) Yes ( ) No

**DISCUSSION**

1. Is there a link between the aim of the study and the findings? ( ) Yes ( ) No
2. Have the findings been compared with the data and information in relevant literature? ( ) Yes ( ) No
3. If so, has sufficient explanation/discussion been made? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No
4. Do the findings put forward any new data and information? ( ) Yes ( ) No
5. Have appropriate and necessary recommendations been included following the discussion? ( ) Yes ( ) No

**REFERENCES**

1. Have both basic and new sources related to the topic been sufficiently used? ( ) Yes ( ) No
2. Are the references related to the topic? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No
3. Have researches or sources from Turkey been used? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No
4. Have the sources been cited and written in accordance with writing guidelines? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No

**LANGUAGE and STYLE**

1. Is the style clear, fluent and easy to understand? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No
2. Has the language (Turkish) been used accurately? ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No
3. Has the full version of abbreviations been provided where they occur first within the text? ( ) Yes ( ) No

**DOES IT CONTRIBUTE TO SCIENCE (LITERATURE)?** ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No

**IS IT SUITABLE FOR ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY?** ( ) Yes ( ) Partly ( ) No

**ANNOTATIONS (if necessary):** .....

**CONCLUSION**

- |                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| ( ) Can be published as it is | ( ) Can be published after being revised   |
| ( ) Cannot be published       | ( ) I would like to see it when it's been revised ( ) I don't want to see it again |

**Address:** Prof.Dr. Orhan DOGAN, C.U.T.F. Hastanesi 58140 Sivas/Turkey

Phone & fax: +90 3462191574, E-mail: ordogan@gmail.com

<http://psikiyatridizini.org/apd>

[http://www.psikiyatridizini.org/journal\\_details.php?journalid=4](http://www.psikiyatridizini.org/journal_details.php?journalid=4)

Anadolu Psikiyatri Derg 2009; 10(3):A7