

ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY
ARTICLE ASSESSMENT FORM FOR ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Reviewer:

00.00.2010

Title

TITLE

1. Is it length suitable? () Yes () No
2. Does it reflect the content? () Yes () Partly () No

ABSTRACTS

1. Is it edited according to writing guidelines and specifications? () Yes () No
2. Are the key words suitable and sufficient? () Yes () Partly () No

INTRODUCTION

1. Is the information provided relating to the study adequate? Is the aim clear? () Yes () No
2. Has the basic information been supported with new information, data and references? () Yes () No
3. As a whole, does it give sufficient idea regarding the subject? () Yes () Partly () No

METHODS and MATERIALS

1. Is the choice and size of the sample and the control group appropriate? () Yes () No
2. Is the explanation of the method and tools adequate? Are the scales valid and reliable? () Yes () No
3. If there are subjects who left the research, are the reasons stated? () Yes () No
4. Are the selection, application and interpretation of the statistical techniques accurate? () Yes () No
5. Has informed consent been obtained from the subjects? Have ethical principles been adhered to? () Yes () No

FINDINGS

1. Is the order of findings within the article suitable? () Yes () No
2. Are the findings concordant with the method and tools? () Yes () No
3. Are the figure, table and graphics necessary and at the required number? () Yes () No
4. Are the titles and explanations of the figure, table and graphics suitable? () Yes () No
5. Have the figure, table and graphics' places within the text been stated? () Yes () No
6. Have the original source of the figure/table/graphics quoted from somewhere else been stated? () Yes () No
7. Is the evaluation of the findings accurate? () Yes () No

DISCUSSION

1. Is there a link between the aim of the study and the findings? () Yes () No
2. Have the findings been compared with the data and information in relevant literature? () Yes () No
3. If so, has sufficient explanation/discussion been made? () Yes () Partly () No
4. Do the findings put forward any new data and information? () Yes () No
5. Have appropriate and necessary recommendations been included following the discussion? () Yes () No

REFERENCES

1. Have both basic and new sources related to the topic been sufficiently used? () Yes () No
2. Are the references related to the topic? () Yes () Partly () No
3. Have researches or sources from Turkey been used? () Yes () Partly () No
4. Have the sources been cited and written in accordance with writing guidelines? () Yes () Partly () No

LANGUAGE and STYLE

1. Is the style clear, fluent and easy to understand? () Yes () Partly () No
2. Has the language (Turkish) been used accurately? () Yes () Partly () No
3. Has the full version of abbreviations been provided where they occur first within the text? () Yes () No

DOES IT CONTRIBUTE TO SCIENCE (LITERATURE)? () Yes () Partly () No

IS IT SUITABLE FOR ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY? () Yes () Partly () No

ANNOTATIONS (If necessary):

CONCLUSION

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| () Can be published as it is | () Can be published after being revised |
| () Can not be published | () I would like to see it when it's been revised () I don't want to see it again |

Address: Prof.Dr. Orhan DOGAN, C.U.T.F. Hastanesi 58140 Sivas/Turkey
Phone & fax: +90 3462191574, E-mail: ordogan@gmail.com
http://www.psikiyatridizini.org/journal_details.php?journalid=4

Anadolu Psikiyatri Derg 2010; 11(2):A6