

Assessment Form for Advisory Board Members

ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY ARTICLE ASSESSMENT FORM FOR ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Reviewer:

00.00.2010

Title

TITLE

1. Is it length suitable? Yes No
2. Does it reflect the content? Yes Partly No

ABSTRACTS

1. Is it edited according to writing guidelines and specifications? Yes No
2. Are the key words suitable and sufficient? Yes Partly No

INTRODUCTION

1. Is the information provided relating to the study adequate? Is the aim clear? Yes No
2. Has the basic information been supported with new information, data and references? Yes No
3. As a whole, does it give sufficient idea regarding the subject? Yes Partly No

METHODS and MATERIALS

1. Is the choice and size of the sample and the control group appropriate? Yes No
2. Is the explanation of the method and tools adequate? Are the scales valid and reliable? Yes No
3. If there are subjects who left the research, are the reasons stated? Yes No
4. Are the selection, application and interpretation of the statistical techniques accurate? Yes No
5. Has informed consent been obtained from the subjects? Have ethical principles been adhered to? Yes No

FINDINGS

1. Is the order of findings within the article suitable? Yes No
2. Are the findings concordant with the method and tools? Yes No
3. Are the figure, table and graphics necessary and at the required number? Yes No
4. Are the titles and explanations of the figure, table and graphics suitable? Yes No
5. Have the figure, table and graphics' places within the text been stated? Yes No
6. Have the original source of the figure/table/graphics quoted from somewhere else been stated? Yes No
7. Is the evaluation of the findings accurate? Yes No

DISCUSSION

1. Is there a link between the aim of the study and the findings? Yes No
2. Have the findings been compared with the data and information in relevant literature? Yes No
3. If so, has sufficient explanation/discussion been made? Yes Partly No
4. Do the findings put forward any new data and information? Yes No
5. Have appropriate and necessary recommendations been included following the discussion? Yes No

REFERENCES

1. Have both basic and new sources related to the topic been sufficiently used? Yes No
2. Are the references related to the topic? Yes Partly No
3. Have researches or sources from Turkey been used? Yes Partly No
4. Have the sources been cited and written in accordance with writing guidelines? Yes Partly No

LANGUAGE and STYLE

1. Is the style clear, fluent and easy to understand? Yes Partly No
2. Has the language (Turkish) been used accurately? Yes Partly No
3. Has the full version of abbreviations been provided where they occur first within the text? Yes No

DOES IT CONTRIBUTE TO SCIENCE (LITERATURE)? Yes Partly No

IS IT SUITABLE FOR ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY? Yes Partly No

ANNOTATIONS (If necessary):

CONCLUSION

Can be published as it is Can be published after being revised
 Can not be published I would like to see it when it's been revised I don't want to see it again

Address: Prof.Dr. Orhan DOGAN, C.U.T.F. Hastanesi 58140 Sivas/Turkey

Phone & fax: +90 3462191574, E-mail: ordogan@gmail.com

http://www.psikiyatridizini.org/journal_details.php?journalid=4

Anadolu Psikiyatri Derg 2010; 11(2)