

Assessment Form for Advisory Board Members

ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY  
ARTICLE ASSESSMENT FORM FOR ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Reviewer:

00.00.2010

Title

TITLE

1. Is it length suitable? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Does it reflect the content? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No

ABSTRACTS

1. Is it edited according to writing guidelines and specifications? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Are the key words suitable and sufficient? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No

INTRODUCTION

1. Is the information provided relating to the study adequate? Is the aim clear? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Has the basic information been supported with new information, data and references? ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. As a whole, does it give sufficient idea regarding the subject? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No

METHODS and MATERIALS

1. Is the choice and size of the sample and the control group appropriate? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Is the explanation of the method and tools adequate? Are the scales valid and reliable? ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. If there are subjects who left the research, are the reasons stated? ☐ Yes ☐ No
4. Are the selection, application and interpretation of the statistical techniques accurate? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. Has informed consent been obtained from the subjects? Have ethical principles been adhered to? ☐ Yes ☐ No

FINDINGS

1. Is the order of findings within the article suitable? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Are the findings concordant with the method and tools? ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Are the figure, table and graphics necessary and at the required number? ☐ Yes ☐ No
4. Are the titles and explanations of the figure, table and graphics suitable? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. Have the figure, table and graphics' places within the text been stated? ☐ Yes ☐ No
6. Have the original source of the figure/table/graphics quoted from somewhere else been stated? ☐ Yes ☐ No
7. Is the evaluation of the findings accurate? ☐ Yes ☐ No

DISCUSSION

1. Is there a link between the aim of the study and the findings? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Have the findings been compared with the data and information in relevant literature? ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. If so, has sufficient explanation/discussion been made? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No
4. Do the findings put forward any new data and information? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. Have appropriate and necessary recommendations been included following the discussion? ☐ Yes ☐ No

REFERENCES

1. Have both basic and new sources related to the topic been sufficiently used? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Are the references related to the topic? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No
3. Have researches or sources from Turkey been used? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No
4. Have the sources been cited and written in accordance with writing guidelines? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No

LANGUAGE and STYLE

1. Is the style clear, fluent and easy to understand? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No
2. Has the language (Turkish) been used accurately? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No
3. Has the full version of abbreviations been provided where they occur first within the text? ☐ Yes ☐ No

DOES IT CONTRIBUTE TO SCIENCE (LITERATURE)? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No

IS IT SUITABLE FOR ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY? ☐ Yes ☐ Partly ☐ No

ANNOTATIONS (If necessary): .....

CONCLUSION

- ☐ Can be published as it is ☐ Can be published after being revised  
☐ Can not be published ☐ I would like to see it when it's been revised ☐ I don't want to see it again

Address: Prof.Dr. Orhan DOĞAN, C.U.T.F. Hastanesi 58140 Sivas/Turkey  
Phone & fax: +90 3462191574, E-mail: [ordogan@gmail.com](mailto:ordogan@gmail.com)  
[http://www.psikiyatridizini.org/journal\\_details.php?journalid=4](http://www.psikiyatridizini.org/journal_details.php?journalid=4)

Anadolu Psikiyatri Derg 2010; 11(2)